



Vaccine Acceptance Science, Policy, and Practice in a "Post-Fact" World": Les Pensières Annecy September 2017

Aligning research goals with public health needs:

Improving uptake and acceptance of maternal and childhood vaccines in pregnancy in Australia - a novel and sustainable approach

Dr Margie Danchin

Paediatrician, Department of General Medicine, RCH Senior Research Fellow, Vaccine and Immunisation and Rotavirus Research Group, MCRI Senior Fellow, Department of Paediatrics, The University of Melbourne







Outline

Aim: to discuss successes and challenges in translating research evidence into policies and programs

Focus: new program of work in Australia to improve vaccine acceptance and uptake of maternal and childhood vaccines

- Underline challenges not successes yet
- Importance of local and international collaboration
- Understanding needs of public health officials
- Engage the end user from the start
- Consider vaccine policy
- Focus on translation when planning interventions







Background

Substantial investment re safety and efficacy of vaccines

- Australia spends \$600 million/year on vaccines
- need to make the same investment to ensure that pregnant women and children are actually getting them
- -need to ensure that immunisation policies introduced to support vaccine uptake are fair, equitable and effective

Recent announcement **\$5.5 million** federal funding to develop new resources: **"Get the facts" Immunisation campaign**

- welcome but alone will have limited impact on vaccine confidence and uptake

- need to interventions to inform vaccine policy



Coverage is stable with persistent gap



¹ Beard FH et al. Trends and patterns in vaccination objection, Australia, 2002–2013 *Medical Journal of Australia* 2016; 204 (7):275

² Thomson A et al. (2016) Vaccine. 34;1018-1024.



³ Senn A. Human rights and capabilities. Journal of Human Development. 2005;6:151-66.

Slide courtesy Julie Leask





Vaccine acceptance is on a spectrum^{1,2}



Melbourne Children's Excellence in clinical care, research and education

- 1. Benin AL. et al Pediatrics 2006
- 2. Leask et al BMC Pediatrics 2012
- 3. Chow et al Aust Fam Physician 2017
- 4. Costa-Pinto et al JPCH 2017
- 5. Danchin et al Vaccine 2017

Slide courtesy Julie Leask





New immunisation policies

Australia - implemented policies to ramp-up penalties for vaccine rejection

From January 1 2016 federal government passed The Social Services Legislation Amendment (No Jab, No Pay) Bill 2015:

- linked to Family Assistance Payments since 1999
- removal of "conscientious objection" exemption to immunisation requirements: no philosophical or religious exemptions
- includes

Family Tax Benefit (FTB) part A (supplement); Child Care Rebate and Child Care Benefit: *approx \$15,000/year for low SES families*

No Jab No Play (Victoria)

- fully immunised on NIP to allow enrolment into childcare or kindergarten OR need medical exemption or be on a catch up schedule







Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health



doi:10.1111/jpc.13472

VIEWPOINT

Imposing penalties for vaccine rejection requires strong scrutiny

Julie Leask¹ and Margie Danchin²

¹Sydney School of Public Health, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales and ²Vaccine and Immunisation Research Group, Murdoch Children's Research Institutes, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Background

At a time when vaccine-preventable diseases (VPDs) are better controlled than ever, vaccine rejection presents a persistent challenge exemptions to the requirements include: a medical contraindication, natural immunity to a disease, being in a vaccine study, temporary unavailability of vaccine, child vaccinated overseas or a decision at the discretion of the Corretory.







Our pilot work – key findings

Our published pilot work identified

- (i) pregnancy as a key vaccine decision-making time point
- (ii) first time mothers are more undecided and vaccine hesitant than mothers with children
- (iii) confirmed flu and pertussis vaccine uptake in pregnancy in Australia remains low
- (iv) that there is a strong correlation between clinician recommendation and receipt of maternal vaccines
- (v) that concerns regarding childhood vaccines in pregnancy correlated with childhood vaccine uptake post-delivery
- (vi) that midwives are the most frequently accessed and trusted source of vaccine information in public antenatal clinics and that
- (vii) lack of availability of maternal vaccines is key barrier to receipt







Plans to vaccinate unborn child according to the Vaccine Communication Framework (VCF), by parity

First child	Unquestioning acceptor n (%)	Questioning acceptor n (%)	Hesitant n (%)	Delayed selective n (%)	Refuser n (%)	Total
No	271 (67.4)	111 (27.6)	7 (1.7)	7 (1.7)	6 (1.5)	402
Yes	194 (60.2)	100 (31.1)	17 (5.3)	7 (2.2)	4 (1.2)	322
Total	456 (64.2)	211 (29.1)	24 (3.3)	14 (1.9)	10 (1.4)	704

First time mothers vs mothers with children:

73% made a decision regarding vaccination compared with 89% of mothers with children (difference in proportion 15%; 95% CI 10-21%; p-value <0.001)
Any concerns: 39.8% vs 32.6%
Hesitant: 5.3 vs 1.7%
Highly Hesitant (top 3 VCF categories): 8.7 vs 4.9%







Reported vaccine uptake for Pertussis and flu vaccines in pregnancy post delivery

	Victoria		South Australia		Western Australia		Total		
	N	n(%)	N	n(%)	N	n(%)	N	n(%)	95% CI
Pertussis	175	145 (82)	64	47 (74)	51	44 (86)	290	236 (82)	78-86
Flu	175	82 (46)	64	30 (46)	51	20 (40)	290	132 (46)	40-52
Partner received Pertussis vaccine	173	115 (66)	63	31 (50)	51	25 (50)	287	171 (60)	54-66







Vaccine uptake and correlation with concerns in pregnancy

Follow up 3-6 months post delivery: Vaccine uptake: 11% (95% CI 7.4-15.9%) not up to date

Vaccine uptake correlated with concerns in pregnancy:

-Infants of mothers who reported "a lot of concern" in pregnancy were less likely to be up to date compared to mothers who had no concerns (diff in proportions of vaccine uptake was 58%: 95% Cl 1–41%, p = 0.035)

-Belief that "vaccines are safe for my child" (vaccine uptake 91% in those who agreed compared to 44% in mothers who did not agree; diff in proportion 47%: 95% CI 14–79%, p = 0.005)







Correlation between uptake and maternal immunisation

There was no association between childhood vaccine uptake and uptake of pertussis or flu vaccines in pregnancy.

Correlation between mothers who reported a **recommendation for vaccines in pregnancy and receipt of the vaccine**

- 86% who received a recommendation for pertussis compared to 64% who didn't received the vaccine (OR 3.5; 95% CI 1.6–7.8, p- value 0.002)

- 58% who received a flu recommendation compared to 31% who didn't (OR 3.1; 95% CI 1.9–5.0, p-value 0.000)







Build interventions

Our pilot work will enable us to:

-Develop tailored approaches to improving vaccine uptake by targeting pregnancy as a key vaccine decision-making time point

-Provide training to providers to support vaccine recommendations to address specific concerns correlated with uptake

-Engagement with healthcare providers (HCPs) is the single most important factor in parent decision-making and that they are the most trusted and highly accessed resource.







Planning interventions

Framework:

The behaviour change wheel

- drivers for under-vaccination are dependent on capacity, opportunity and motivation and that interventions need to be targeted at the specific barriers.
- using a socio-ecological model, new interventions can be tailored to the individual, organisational and policy levels, ensuring that both structural levers and dialogue-based and educational strategies are incorporated in multi-component interventions



Michie et al. Implementation Science 2011, 6:42

The behaviour change wheel: Michie et al. Implementation Science 2011, 6:42







MumBubVax

Novel, multi-component vaccine promotion package, MumBubVax, to be delivered in pregnancy by obstetricians and midwives

- need to assess feasibility and acceptability by providers and mothers

The MumBubVax vaccine promotion package

- targeted at three levels the practice, provider and parent level to improve uptake of both maternal and childhood vaccines
- intended to have a **sustained impact on vaccine acceptance**, not just uptake
- incorporates current evidenced-based thinking on the most effective strategies to improve vaccine decision-making
- **normalise vaccine discussions at mandated times** in Australian antenatal care for the first time.







Planning interventions

Stakeholder meeting

Head of Obstetrics; Director of Maternity Services & Head of Midwifery at Royal Women's Hospital; Victorian Department of Health; vaccine policy experts; vaccinologists:

Identify barriers and practical solutions to maternal vaccination in public setting

Key informant interviews to build process map:

- Obstetricians, midwives

MidVaxMI study: public-hospital midwives

Conducting **key informant interviews and focus groups** in 3 states (WA, SA and Vic) to understand the interest and feasibility of an MI based intervention for midwives, including understanding their attitudes and values, role in advocacy and vaccine delivery and the barriers and enablers







MumBubVax

- Program enhanced by strong national and international collaboration
- -Prof Saad Omer and team, Emory University and P3+ trial: key advisors
- -National meeting maternal Immunisation: collaboration
- -Victorian Department of Health
- -Royal Womens Hospital agreement to fund and provide vaccine
- -MCRI digital health team: parent app, webinars and MI video

-Build on the federally funded **Sharing Knowledge on Immunisation (SKAI)** project

- led by A/Prof Julie Leask, University of Sydney
- inform immunisation education and communication skill training in the primary care setting by GPs
- Resources tailored to most common vaccine concerns



The SKAI Resources



Vaccines are carefully tested





Planned interventions - obstetricians

Vaxchat online training webinar

- Adapted from P3+ trial in Georgia and Denver, USA (Saad Omer and team)
- 20 minute webinar for obstetricians linked to CME points
- Provide evidenced based training on how to discuss maternal vaccination with hesitant mothers
- Positive recommendation to vaccinate
- 3 key points
- Pivot to the diseases







Planned interventions - midwives

MI based intervention

- Online webinar: introduction to MI communication
- Two face to face training sessions
 - Role modeling
 - Observation
 - Feedback
- Linked maternal and childhood vaccination resources on parent app
 - SKAI facts sheets: common vaccine concerns
 - Decision aids







MumBubVax

Structural levers

- -provision of maternal vaccines on site: staff, vaccine fridges
- -standing orders
- -EMR reminders on practice software

Veerasingam P et al. Pediatrics 2017 Vaccine Education During Pregnancy and Timeliness of Infant Immunization

- -education even with good provider training is unlikely to be a powerful determinant on its own need structural levers
- -Need to reach mothers/parents before they receive negative messaging as mothers who received negative messaging less likely to have infants immunised on time; positive info same as no info







MumBubVax

If proven effective, MumBubVax could

-be scaled up and translated into clinical practice in Australia

-be adapted and tested in other geographic and demographic populations, including low-income settings, in partnership with the World Health Organisation (WHO)

Need strong partnerships with academics, both national and international, and government and effective evaluation to ensure knowledge translation







Acknowledgements

MumBubVax Investigator team

Dr Katie Attwell, University of Western Australia and Telethon Kids Institute Jessica Kaufman, MCRI Prof Saad Omer, Emory University Julie Leask, University of Sydney Prof Helen Marshall, The University of Adelaide A/Prof Michelle Giles, Monash University Dr Kerrie Wiley, University of Sydney Jess Costa-Pinto, Royal Childrens Hospital

P3+ Trial team

Allison Chamberlain, Emory University Sean O'leary, University of Denver





SARAH Collaboration: Support And Resources to Assist Hesitant parents with vaccination



SARAH/SKAI Team

Julie Leask (PI), Nina Berry, Lyndal Trevena, Penelope Robinson USYD Kristine Macartney NCIRS Margie Danchin, Murdoch Children's Research Institute Tom Snelling Telethon Kids Institute Paul Kinnersley, Cardiff University Holly Witteman, Université Laval, Canada

Advisory Group Terry Nolan, University of Melbourne Greg Rowles, GP, Melbourne Liz Marles, RACGP Karen Booth, APNA Catherine Hughes, Light for Riley

Contributors

Harold Willaby, Kerrie Wiley, Melinda Hassall, Alex Henry, Cath Jackson, Greg Rowles, Helen Bedford, Francine Cheater, Nick Sevdalis.

