Norovirus Diversity and Immune-Driven Evolution: Mechanisms of Protection and Implications for Vaccine Design

Lisa Lindesmith Takeda Norovirus Research Group Ralph Baric



Outline

Norovirus GII.4 diversity and evolution

host susceptibility breadth and longevity of protective immunity

Norovirus vaccine candidate cross-strain protection

Norovirus Disease Burden

Public Health Interest

Major cause of epidemic viral gastroenteritis, worldwide ~200,000 deaths/yr (Infants, young children, elderly) Most important cause of viral gastroenteritis/infants-children (Rotavirus vaccine) Chronic Infection/yrs (Immunosuppressed-Transplant Recipients) Traveler's Diarrhea

Food and Waterborne Pathogen, Very Stable in Environment

Global Pandemic to Endemic Disease Cycles

What are the fundamental properties of NoV evolution, immunity and immunogenicity and how will these factor effect vaccine design?

HBGAs-Introduction

Large, complex, multivalent carbohydrates (CHO) bound to proteins or lipids.

Found on the surface of mucosal cells and free in mucosal secretions.

Expression is tissue dependent

Host genetics mediate expression patterns of gylcosyltransferases

Likley norovirus receptor or co-receptor Secretor phenotype and blood type are associated with specific NoV strain infection.

Host genetics mediate NoV strain susceptibility.

Human Norovirus Introduction

- Challenges to successful vaccine design
 - No validated small animal or cell culture system (VLPs)
 - Unclear effect of host genetics and pre-exposure history
 - Strain diversity: >40 genotypes infect humans
 - Antigenic Drift within the pandemic GII.4 genotype : 70-80% of outbreaks



GII.4 Capsid is changing over time.



Lindesmith and Baric 2015

Bayesian tree of GII.4 ORF2 capsid sequences

GII.4 Change Occurring in the P2 subdomain

Dimer of P2 domain



Norovirus VLP, Prasad et al. 1999. Science



GII.4 P2 Subdomain Amino Acid Changes



Color change=residue change over time Binding pocket conserved Ridge around pocket variable P2 Change Affects HBGA and Ab binding affinity



GII.4 HBGA Binding

		Se-		Se+		Se+		Se+	
		Le+		Le-		Le+		A/B +	
VLP	Cluster	LeA	LeX	H1	H3	LeB	LeY	Α	В
GII.4.1987	Camberwell								
GII.4.1997	Grimsby								
GII.4.2002a	Farmington Hills								
GII.4.2002	Farmington Hills								
GII.4.2006	Minerva								
GII.4.2009	New Orleans								
GII.4.2012	Sydney								

Breadth of binding greater than non-GII.4 strains. Profiles vary between GII.4 strains, especially by affinity



GII.4 HBGA Binding

		Se-		Se+		Se+		Se+	
		Le+		Le-		Le+		A/B +	
VLP	Cluster	LeA	LeX	H1	H3	LeB	LeY	Α	В
GII.4.1987	Camberwell								
GII.4.1997	Grimsby								
GII.4.2002a	Farmington Hills								
GII.4.2002	Farmington Hills								
GII.4.2006	Minerva								
GII.4.2009	New Orleans								
GII.4.2012	Sydney								

Breadth of binding greater than non-GII.4 strains. Profiles vary between GII.4 strains, especially by affinity

Microvariation in the P2 domain modulates HBGA binding in vitro and potentially population susceptibility in vivo.



Blockade-Epitope Chimeric VLP



Does transplanted sequence change Ab reactivity?



VLP-Carbohydrate Ab "Blockade" Assay

Surrogate neutralization assay

Correlate to protection from infection in humans



% Control Binding (Blockade Potential) =

(OD Ab present / OD Ab absence) x 100



VLP-Carbohydrate "Blockade" Assay



% Control Binding data are fit with Sigmoidal curves and an EC50 calculated.



VLP-Carbohydrate "Blockade" Assay



% Control Binding data are fit with Sigmoidal curves and an EC50 calculated.

"Blockade" Ab if block ≥50%

No blockade assigned EC50=2X ULD



Epitope A is an Evolving Blockade Epitope





Epitope A is an Evolving Blockade Epitope





Epitope A is an Evolving Blockade Epitope



GII.4 NoV Blockade Epitopes



How GII.4 Evolution Impacts Vaccine Design?

Conclusion: Sequence changes in the P2 subdomain alter GII.4 HBGA binding and antigenicity.

Good news:

Know where to watch for sequence changes Know to how evaluate these changes for potential escape variants (vaccine reformulation)

Challenge:

Design a vaccine that elicits an immune response broad enough to accommodate GII.4 evolution.



Multivalent Norovirus Vaccine Approaches

Multiple strains VRP simultaneously





Multivalent Norovirus Vaccine Approaches



Multivalent immunization results in blockade Ab cross-reactive with GII.4 strains not included in the vaccine.

What happens in people with pre-exposure history?



Evaluating cross-strain immune responses to NoV VLP Vaccine



ndesmit for Ball 201

GI VLP Blockade Ab Response





GI VLP Blockade Ab Response



GI Blockade Ab Response

-Most potent response to GI.1 -Moderate cross-reactivity with other GI VLPs -Suggests GI.1-specific Ab



GII.4 VLP Blockade Ab Response





GII.4 VLP Blockade Ab Response



GII.4 Blockade Ab Response

-Potency relatively consistent between strains -Suggests cross-reactive memory Ab response



Can vaccination induce a cross-blockade Ab response to novel GII.4 strain VLPs?







Novel GII.4 VLP Response



Novel GII.4 VLP Response



Novel GII.4 Blockade Ab Response

-Similar potency to each other and the other GII.4s -Suggests cross-reactive memory Ab response



What is the driving mechanism of the cross-blockade Ab response following vaccination?



Antigenic Cartography

Bioinformatics approach to visualizing the antigenic relation among multiple antigens and sera.



Dr. Marty Ferris



Antigenic Cartography

Bioinformatics approach to visualizing the antigenic relation among multiple antigens and sera.



0

0

-1

0

2

3 22 0-12 -2



Dr. Marty Ferris

Antigen map3D Multi Dimensional Scaling

Each ball represents one VLP. Difference in titer ≈ 3D distance









А













Day 0: 1. Titers are low and VLPs are distinct.

Day 7:

1. Highest responses to vaccine components.







Day 0: 1. Titers are low and VLPs are distinct.



- 1. Highest responses to vaccine components.
- 2. Early GII.4 cluster and are most close to GII.4C: cross-reactive Ab response.







Day 0: 1. Titers are low and VLPs are distinct.

Day 7:

- 1. Highest responses to vaccine components.
- 2. Early GII.4 cluster and are most close to GII.4C: memory response to multiple strains.
- GI.1 distinct from other GI VLPs: secondary Ab response to GI.1.











- 1. Highest responses to vaccine components.
- Early GII.4 cluster and are most close to GII.4C: memory response to multiple strains.
- 3. GI.1 distinct from other GI VLPs: secondary Ab response to GI.1.



У

 All of the titer have decreased, distances between VLPs is less.

•••



Day 180

-2

-1

0

2

0



Day 0: 1. Titers are but VLPs are distinct.



- 1. Highest responses to vaccine components.
- Early GII.4 cluster and are most close to GII.4C: memory response to multiple strains.
- 3. GI.1 distinct from other GI VLPs: secondary Ab response to GI.1.

Day 180:

У

 All of the titer have decreased, distances between VLPs is less.

••••

Day 18

-2

-1

0

х

2. GII.4.1997 Ab is predominant response suggesting original GII.4 strain exposure continues to be boosted and may shape subsequent GII.4 Ab responses.

Biochemical assay validation of bioinformatics cartography data GII.4C vaccine response is cross-reactive Ab GII.4.1997 responses predominate?

Epitope-Specific Blockade of Binding (BOB) Assay



Epitope Blockade of Binding (BOB) Assay

Does serum block binding of epitope-specific mAbs?



Is cross blockade the result of Ab to conserved blockade epitope or multiple strain-specific epitopes?



GII.4.1997 VLP





GII.4.1997 VLP

GII.4.2006b VLP

Day 0 No sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A or F No sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A or F No/Low Abs to either blockade epitope in either strain.





GII.4.1997 VLP

- Day 0 No sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A or F No sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A or F No/Low Abs to either blockade epitope in either strain.
- Day 7 Peak sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A > F
 Peak sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A > F
 Abs to conserved blockade epitope & strain-specific epitopes in multiple GII.4 strains.





GII.4.1997 VLP

- Day 0 No sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A or F No sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A or F No/Low Ab to either blockade epitope in either strain.
- Day 7 Peak sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A > F
 Peak sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A > F
 Ab to conserved blockade epitope & strain-specific epitopes in multiple GII.4 strains.
- Day 35 Sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A only Sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A only Ab to only strain-specific epitopes in multiple GII.4 strains.





GII.4.1997 VLP

- Day 0 No sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A or F No sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A or F No/Low Ab to either blockade epitope to either strain.
- Day 7 Peak sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A > F
 Peak sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A > F
 Ab to conserved blockade epitope & strain-specific epitopes in multiple GII.4 strains.
- Day 35 Sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A only Sera blockade of GII.4.2006b Epitope A only Ab to only strain-specific epitopes in multiple GII.4 strains.
- Day 180 Sera blockade of GII.4.1997 Epitope A only Ab to only GII.4.1997-specific



How can the vaccine induce a response to hypervariable epitope A in Multiple Strains?

Explain the BOB data:

- Not really epitope A, steric hindrance
 Other mabs or pAb don't block binding of epitope A mabs.
- Rare class of cross-reactive epitope A Abs that are expressed post vaccination due to the novel antigen that GII.4C represents.
 Chimeric GII.4C VLP unique epitope A, then vaccination could preferentially select for clones that recognize a conserved set of residues that are either part of epitope A or structurally near epitope A.
- 3. Epitope A has a linear, conserved stretch of amino acids Cross-GII.4 EIA binding with select mabs.



Conclusions

GII.4 evolution impacts HBGA binding (host range) and antigenicity (breadth and duration of protective immunity).

A multivalent vaccination approach expands the blockade Ab response.

Questions:

Blockade Ab titers associated with protection for GII.4 (other) strains?

Impact of blockade Ab titer "ceiling" (lack of boost after second dose)?

How to test vaccine efficacy in the context of universal exposure?

Highest priority for progress?

Human challenge studies



Acknowledgements

<u>Ralph Baric Lab</u> Ralph Baric

<u>Norovirus</u> Lisa Lindesmith Michael Mallory Excel Swan

Dengue Virus Emily Gallichotte Stephanie Marcett Jessica Plante Jesica Swanstrom Doug Widman Ellen Young Coronavirus Anne Beall Adam Cockrell **Rachel Graham** Lisa Gralinski Steven Hartman Cara Jenson Jacob Kocher Sara Leist Vineet Menachery Kayla Peck Alexandra Schaefer Trevor Scobey **Timothy Sheahan** Amy Sims Ande West Boyd Yount

Antigenic Cartography Martin Ferris-UNC

Takeda Vaccines Inc. Conducted the study Frank Baehner Robert Bargatze Jennifer Ferreira Robert Goodwin Paul Mendelman Charles Richardson

<u>NIAID Funding</u> R01AI056351 R56A15-0756 U19 AI109761 CETR

